

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

PRISUA ENGINEERING CORP.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 1-16-CV-21761-KMM

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.;  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,  
INC.; and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS  
LATINOAMERICA MIAMI, INC.,

Defendants.

**VERDICT FORM**

In answering these questions, follow all of the instructions given in the Charge of Court.

1. Did Prisia prove that Samsung infringed any of the asserted claims of the '591 Patent?

**Answer "Yes" or "No" separately for each asserted claim:**

Claim 1: Yes  No

Claim 3: Yes  No

Claim 4: Yes  No

Claim 8: Yes  No

If you answered "Yes" with respect to any claim in Question 1, proceed to answer Question 2.

If you answered "No" to each of the above claims, your verdict is for Samsung. You should skip the remaining questions and sign and date the verdict form.

2. Did Prisia prove that Samsung infringed the '591 Patent willfully?

**Answer "Yes" or "No":**

Yes  No

Proceed to answer Question 3.

3. Are any of the asserted claims of the '591 Patent invalid?

Answer "Yes" or "No" separately for each asserted claim:

Claim 1: Yes  No   
Claim 3: Yes  No   
Claim 4: Yes  No   
Claim 8: Yes  No

If you answered "Yes" to all of the above claims in Question 3, your verdict is for Samsung. You should skip the remaining question and sign and date the verdict form.


If you answered "No" to any of the above claims in Question 3, proceed to Question 4.

4. What amount of money, if paid now in cash, do you find should be awarded as a reasonable royalty to compensate Prisia for the infringement of the claim(s) you found to be infringed and not invalid?

Answer: \$ 4.3 MILLION

SO SAY WE ALL.

Dated: 2/23/2018

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
FOREPERSON OF THE JURY

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed name of Foreperson